

RUBENSTEIN SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

#### **Background/Motivation**

*Eciton* is a genus of new world army ant found throughout Central and South America. Most species within this genus exhibit a high level of polymorphism, the characteristic of multiple morphologies represented within a single species. Within ants, these different morphologies are often called castes (Oster and Wilson, 1978).

Across the vast majority of ant species, there exists a specific morphology for queen, male, and worker ants. In addition, the worker caste can be further broken into multiple distinct castes, including majors, submajors, medias, and minors (Franks, 1985). Eciton major-caste workers are easily recognized by their large size and long, ice-tong shaped mandibles (Gotwald et al., 1969; Figure 1).



Figure 1. An Eciton burchelli major-caste worker. Note the minor-caste worker in the bottom center of the photograph. Photo from Alexander Wild, alexanderwild.com.

Across scientific literature, the role which *Eciton* major-caste workers play within the colony is often speculated or assumed to be colony defense. However, while other *Eciton* castes, such as the submajor-caste workers, have been examined in detail, no scientific study to-date has studied the function of *Eciton* major-caste workers (Powell and Franks, 2006).

We propose to determine the role of major-caste workers, or "soldiers," within *Eciton* colonies.

# The role of major-caste workers within Eciton ant colonies

**Jacob Sorrentino** 

## **Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont**

### **Hypothesis/Predictions**

We hypothesize that the primary role of major-caste workers within *Eciton* colonies is defense against vertebrate threats.

We predict that *Eciton burchelli* major-caste workers will spend the greatest percentage of time observed stationary in a defensive position or moving along raiding columns, followed by defending the colony against vertebrate threats, and the least amount of time defending the colony against invertebrate threats (Figure 2).

This prediction is based upon the fact that ant mandibles are specialized for specific tasks. The ice-tong mandibles of *Eciton* major-caste workers would be unable to slice food or carry items (Gotwald et al., 1969). However, they would be highly efficient at piercing and holding vertebrate skin and flesh.

## **Study Design**

We will conduct a field experiment on Barro Colorado Island, Panama, to observe the behavior of *Eciton burchelli* major-caste workers (Franks and Fletcher, 1983). Observations will be performed daily during June and July. At the inception of the study, a colony of *Eciton* burchelli will be located. This colony will be the target of observation until the colony relocates, at which point a new colony will be found for observation.

Each day, the colony of *Eciton burchelli* will be observed three times at three different sites along a raiding column. Raiding columns were chosen for the sites of observation due to the risk of ants only displaying behaviors in response to the presence of researchers near the nest. Sites will be determined by finding the current front of the raiding column at the start time of a given observation period (Figure 3). This location will be the site of observation for the hour as the ants continue to move past (Rettenmeyer, 1963).



Figure 3. An *Eciton burchelli* raiding column. Note the majorcaste worker near the center of the photo, identifiable by the large size, pale head, and ice-tong shaped mandibles. Photo from Alexander Wild, alexanderwild.com



percentage of time spent in invertebrate defense.

Observations will be performed during three time periods daily, from 6:00-7:00, 10:30-11:30, and 15:00-16:00. This is because Eciton burchelli raids are only conducted during daylight (Rettenmeyer, 1963). Majorcaste workers at the sites will be observed for 10 seconds every 5 minutes over the course of the hour (Human and Gordon, 1998).

Behavior of the major-caste workers during these 10second periods will be recorded as one of four categories. This includes (1) standing guard, (2) moving with the colony, (3) defending the colony against vertebrate threats, and (4) defending the colony against invertebrate threats.

Gotwald, W.H., Johnson, N., & Cora, J. (1969). Comparative morphological studies of the ants with particular reference to the mouthparts (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, 408, 1-150. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.26269

Human, K. & Gordon, D. (1999). Behavioral interactions of the invasive Argentine ant with native ant species. Insectes soc, 46, 159–163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s000400050127

Powell, S. & Franks, N. R. (2006). Ecology and the evolution of worker morphological diversity: a comparative analysis with Eciton army ants. Functional Ecology, 20, 1105-1114. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01184.x





#### **Intended Analysis**

Due to the fact that our dependent variable, percentage of time, is discrete, and our independent variable, behavior category, is categorical with >2 groups, we will analyze the data collected using an Analysis of Variance Analysis, or ANOVA, test.

The results of this ANOVA test will allow us to determine the breakdown of Eciton burchelli majorcaste worker time expenditure. Through the interpretation of these results, we can answer the fundamental question of this study by comparing time spent defending the colony against vertebrate threats to the time spent defending the colony against invertebrate threats. The proportion of time spent standing guard and moving with the colony could also provide interesting insights, as the possibility remains that the primary function of major-caste workers is the visual intimidation and discouragement of potential threats. The results of this study could extend to any *Eciton* species which exhibits major-caste workers.

# **Literature Cited**

Franks N.R. (1985). Reproduction, foraging efficiency and worker polymorphism in army ants. Experimental behavioral ecology and sociobiology, 31.91-107.

Franks, N.R., & Fletcher, C.R. (1983). Spatial patterns in army ant foraging and migration: Eciton burchelli on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Behav Ecol Sociobiol, 12, 261–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302894

Oster, G. F. & Wilson E. O. (1979). Caste and ecology in the social insects. Acta Biotheor, 28, 234–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00046355

Rettenmeyer, C.W. (1963). Behavioral studies of army ants. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull, 44(9), 281–465.